

FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAM A NORTHERN DIVISION RECEIVED

JEFFERY JAMES VACKSON, I

2006 MAY -2 A 9: 40

V.

ELLEN I. BROOKS, ET. AL. DEFENDANT(S).

DEBSAR HACKETTAN - 1138 -F LZ DIZ OCTUDICTV - 1138 -F MIDDLE DISTRICT ALA

RESPONSE TO WRITTEN REPORT

COME NOW THE PLAINTIFF, VEFFERY V. VACKSON PROSE AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS HONORABLE COLIRTS APRIL 25TH 2006 ORDER, OFFER THE FOLLOWING RESPONSI TO WRITTEN REPORT:

PLAINTIFF CLAIMS

PLAINTIFF CLAIMS UNDER 42 U.S.C. \$ 1983 THAT HE WAS WRONGFULLY CONVICTED ON FEBUARY 14, 2000 AND THE STATE OF ALABAMA PURSUED PROSECUTION TWICE ON C.C. 91-163 WITH INTENTION TO CAUSE TO HARM.

PLAINTIFF, CLAIMS THAT HE WAS ARRESTED UNLAWFULLY ON MARCH 18, 2002 FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR FOR SENTENCING AND THE STATE OF ALABAMA PURSUED PROSECTION TWICE ON C.C.91-163 AND C.C.99-2133.

THE COURT ON RECORD VERIFIED THAT THE PLEA TAKEN ON 2-14-2000 WAS ENTERED BY ANOTHER DEFENDANT.

- CLAIMS FOR INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL ON Z-14-2000) 6-17-2002 AND THE CLAIMS CHALLENGING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY THE GUILTY PLEAS AND CONVICTIONS HAVE BEEN DISMISSED BY S HONORABLE COURT.
 - STATEMENT OF FACTS
- E PLAINTIFF WAS INDICTED BY THE MONTGOMERY CO. GRAND YURY ON CEMBER 10, 1999 FOR THEFT OF PROPERTY SECOND DEGREE AND CEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY SECOND DEGREE IN C.C. 99-2133. (CASE NO. C.C. 99-2133)
- V FEBUARY 14, 2000, A GUILTY PLEA WAS ENTERED WRONGFULLY AND I VUNE 17, 2002, THE PLAINTIFF PLEADED GUILTY TO RECEIVING STOLEN ROPERTY IT AND THE ALTERNATIVE COUNT OF THEFT OF PROPERTY I EGREE WAS NOLLE PROSSED. PLAINTIFF WAS SENTENCE TO (15) SALIT(3). (CASE NO. C.C. 91-163)
- ON JUNE 11, 1991, THE PLAINTIFF PLEADED GUILTY TO BREAKING AND ENTERING A VEHICLE AND THEFT OF PROPERTY III. PLAINTIFF E.O.S. C.C. 91-163 ON FEBUARY 2, 2001
- ON JUNE 11, 1991, PLAINTIFF PLEAD GUILTY TO BREAKING AND ENTERING A VEHICLE AND THEFT OF PROPERTY III. PLANTIFF WAS SENTENCED TO A (15) SPLIT (3).
- ON MARCH 23, 1995, YUDGE: SALLY M. GREENHAW, ORDERED, C.C. 91-16 TO RUN CONCURRENT WITH C.C. 81-723. ON JUNE 17, 2002, JUDGE: SALLY M. GREENHAW, ORDERED, C.C. 99-213 TO RUN CONCURRENT WITH C.C. 91-163.

DEFENDANT BROOKS IS THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR THE 15TH LUDICAL CIRCUIT OF ALABAMA. HER OFFICE PURSUED PROSECUTION WILE ON C.C. 91-163 AND C.C. 99-2133 WRONGFULLY WITH THE ENTENTION OF MARMING THE PLAINTIFF.

DEFENDANT POWELL DID TAKE PART IN THE SECOND PROSECUTION AND WAS ASSIGNED TO PROSECUTE CASE NO. C. C. 99-2133 AND C. Q. 91-163. TRIAL WAS SCHEDULE VUNE 20, 2002 ON THIS CASE, SEFORE VUDGE: SALLY M. GREENHAW.

ARGUMENT

QUALIFIED IMMUNITY PROTECTS GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS PERFORMING SISCRETIONARY FUNCTIONS FROM CIVIL TRIALS AND BURDENS OF LITIGATION, INCLUDING DISCOVERY AND FROM LIAIBILITY IF THEIR CONDUCT VIOLATES NO CLEARLY ESTABLISHED STATUTORY OR CONSTITUTUTIONAL RIGHTS OF WHICH A REASONIABLE PERSON WOULD HAVE KNOW. GONZALES VS. LEE CO. HOUSING AUTHORITY 161 F. 3 d 1290, 1295 (11TH CIR 1998).

DEFENDANT'S LINDER 42 U.S.C. \$ 1983 PURSUED PROSECUTION IN THIS MATTER CAUSING PLAINTIFF TO LOSE HIS HOME, VOB AND FREEDOM.

PLAINTIFF 13 1983 CLAIM AGAINST THESES DEFENDANT IS NOT BARREL BY ABSOLUTE PROSECUTORIAL IMMUNITY. IN APPLYING ABSOLUTE PROSECUTORIAL IMMUNITY, THE LINITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS DIRECTED THAT COURTS TAKE A FUNCTIONAL APPROACH, IN THAT PROSECUTORS ARE ABSOLUTELY IMMUNE FOR CONDUCT IN INITIATING A PROSECUTION AND IN PRESENTING THE STATE'S CASE INSOFAR

45 THAT CONDUCT IS INTIMATELY ASSOCIATED WITH THE JUDICIAL DHASE OF THE CRIMINAL PROCESS. BURN V. REED, 500 U.S. 478, 490-91, III S. Ct. 1934, 1941 (1991) CITING IMBLER V. PACHTAM, 424 U.S. 409, 431, 96 S. Ct. 984, 995 (1976).

ACCORDING TO CASE ACTION SUMMARY, PLAINTIFF WAS PROSECUTE. WRONGFULLY ON C.C. 99-2133 AND C.C. 91-163. DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS SHOW THAT THE PROSECUTION AGAINST PLAINTIFF WAS DELIBERATE AND WITH THE INTENTION TO HARM:

THE CONDUCT COMPLAINED UPON BY THE PLAINTIFF IS NOT INTIMATELY ASSOCIATED WITH THE VUNCIAL PHASE OF THE CRIMINAL PROCESS.

DUE PROCESS OF LAW - THE FIFTH AMENOMENT FORBIDS CONGRESS TO DEPRIVE ANY PERSON OF LIFE, LIBERTY OR PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW. THE FOURTEETH AMENOMENT IMPOSES THE SAME IMITATION, UPON THE STATES; THOSE ACCUSED MUST BE PROPERLY APPREHENDED AND NOTIFIED OF THE ACCUSATION AND AN OPPORTUNITY MUST BE GIVEN FOR THE ACCUSED TO PREPARE AND PRESENT HIS DEFENSE.

THE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT 5 STATES THAT NO PERSON SHALL BE SUBJECT FOR THE SAME OFFENSE TO BE TWICE PUT IN VEOPARDY OF LIFE, NOR BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY, OR PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW.

CONCLUSION

THERE ARE GENUINE ISSUES OF MATERIAL FACT, AND E PLAINTIFF ARE ENTITLED TO SUDGEMENT AS A MATTER LAW. WHEREFORE, THE PLAINTIFF HAVE RESPONDED ACCORDINGLY D RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT THIS HONORABLE COURT AFTER VIEWING PLAINTIFF RESPONSE AND EVIDENTIARY MATERIALS RECOMMEND OR ORDER) AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Jeffery J. Jackson

ANJ, St - PLAINTIFF

PLAINTIFF WHO HAS FILED EVERYTHING PAGE REQUEST WAT TO LOOK UPON THIS FILING UNDER A ACT OF PROSE ESPONSE OF A LAYMAN WHO IS DOING SO WITH THE BEST INDERSTANDING OF THE LAW.

Jeffery J. Jackson
pho-SE, PLAINTIFF

CERTIF	CATE	OF	SERV	ICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE, THIS THE 30TH DAY OF APRIL, 2006, I SERVED A COPY OF THE FOREGOING ON THE DEFENDANTS ATTORNEY, BY PLACING THE SAME IN THE UNITED STATES MAIL, LOCATED AT THE MONTGOMERY TO. DETENTION FAC. POSTAGE PREPAID, AND ADDRESSED AS FOLLOWS:

ADDRESS OF COUNSEL:

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 11 SOUTH UNION STREET MONTGOMERY, AL. 36130

ASSISTANT ATTURNEY GENERAL

Jeffry J. Jackson pko, st- PLAINTIFF